

THE ENDOWMENT STRUCTURE OF TYPE-III UNICYCLIC GRAPHS: A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY

Emma Miller¹, Benjamin Adams², Lily Walker³, and Jacob Lee^{*4}

¹ Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, USA

² Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA

ABSTRACT

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a non empty, finite, simple graph. A dominating set of a graph G containing a minimum dominating set of G is called a γ - endowed dominating set of G . If that set is of cardinality k then it is called a $k\gamma$ - endowed dominating set. $k - \gamma_r$ enresdowed graph is one in which every restrained dominating set of cardinality k contains a minimum restrained dominating set. Consider a cycle graph G , in which a set of different paths is attached to every vertex of the cycle. In this paper, the enresdowedness property for the unicyclic graphs with a set of different paths attached to every vertex of the cycle is obtained.

Keywords: *Enresdowed graphs, Unicyclic graphs.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a non empty, finite, simple graph. A subset D of $V(G)$ is called a dominating set of G if for every $v \in V - D$, there exists $u \in D$ such that u and v are adjacent. The minimum cardinality of the dominating set is called the domination number and it is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. The restrained dominating set of a graph is a dominating set in which every vertex in $V - D$ is adjacent to some other vertex in $V - D$ [6]. The minimum cardinality of the restrained dominating set is called the restrained domination number and it is denoted by $\gamma_r(G)$. A graph is said to be $k - \gamma_r$ enresdowed graph if every restrained dominating set of cardinality k contains a minimum restrained dominating set. Consider a unicyclic graph G which contains a cycle C_n , $n \geq 3$, and a set of paths P_t , $t \geq 2$, where these set s , $s \geq 1$ of different paths are attached to each vertex of C_n . Anders Sune Pedersen, Preben Dahl Vestergaard obtained the upper and lower bounds for the number of independent sets in a unicyclic graph in terms of its order[1]. A unicyclic graph is a connected graph with a unique cycle. A unicyclic graph is called fully loaded if every vertex on its unique cycle has degree at least three[7]. Joanna Raczek characterize all connected unicyclic graphs with the domination multisubdivision number equal to three[2].

II. RESULTS ON TYPE – III UNICYCLIC ENRESDOWED GRAPHS

Definition 2.1

Let k be a positive integer. A simple, finite, non trivial graph $G = (V, E)$ is called a $k - \gamma_r$ enresdowed graph if every restrained dominating set of G of cardinality k contains a minimum restrained dominating set γ_r of G . [5]

Definition 2.2

Let G be a unicyclic graph $C_n P_t$, for $n \geq 3$, $t \geq 2$. Let $\{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be the set of vertices of C_n . The graph G contains a set of n copies of distinct paths $\{P_{it_j}\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $2 \leq j \leq s_i$, which are attached to each vertex $\{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ of the cycle C_n , for $n \geq 3$. The set of vertices $\{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ is considered as the initial vertex for the set of all paths $\{P_{it_j}\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq s_i$ attached to each $\{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 2.3

Let G be a unicyclic graph $C_n P_t$, for $n \geq 3$ and $t \geq 2$. Let $\{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be the set of vertices of C_n . The graph G contains a set of n copies of distinct paths $\{P_{it_j}\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $2 \leq j \leq s_i$, which are attached to each vertex $\{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ of the cycle C_n , for $n \geq 3$, such that the cardinality of any path $P_{it_j}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq s_i$ is not same as any other path $P_{it_{j+1}},$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq s_i$ which are attached to same $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ in G . The set of vertices $\{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ of the cycle C_n , for $n \geq 3$ is considered as the initial vertices for the set of all paths $\{P_{it_j}\}, 1 \leq i \leq n,$

$2 \leq j \leq S_i$, attached to it. Let D be the minimum restrained dominating set of G , then G is $k - \gamma_r$ enresdowed for any k , where $\gamma_r \leq k \leq n + \left| \bigcup_{2 \leq j \leq S_i}^n P_{it_j} - S_i \right|$

Proof

Given G is a unicyclicgraph $C_n P_t$, for $n \geq 3$ and $t \geq 2$. Let $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_n\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be the set of all vertices of the cycle C_n , $n \geq 3$. Let P_{1t_2} be a path P_2 , which consist of the vertex set $\{u_{1,21}, u_{1,22}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{1,21} = v_1$, P_{1t_3} be a path P_3 , with the vertex set $\{u_{1,31}, u_{1,32}, u_{1,33}\}$, where the vertex $u_{1,31} = v_1$, P_{1t_4} be a path P_4 , with the vertex set $\{u_{1,41}, u_{1,42}, u_{1,43}, u_{1,44}\}$ such that the vertex $u_{1,41} = v_1$. Without loss of generality, consider any path P_{1t_l} , where P_{1t_l} be a path P_l , $2 \leq l \leq S_1$, which contains the vertex set $\{u_{1,l1}, u_{1,l2}, u_{1,l3}, \dots, u_{1,ll}\}$ where the vertex $u_{1,l1} = v_1$. Proceeding similarly, consider any path $P_{1t_{S_1}}$, where the path $P_{1t_{S_1}}$ is a path P_{S_1} which consist of the vertex set $\{u_{1,S_11}, u_{1,S_12}, u_{1,S_13}, \dots, u_{1,S_1S_1}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{1,S_11} = v_1$. Thus the set of vertices $\{u_{1,21}, u_{1,22}, u_{1,31}, u_{1,32}, u_{1,33}, u_{1,41}, u_{1,42}, u_{1,43}, u_{1,44}, \dots, u_{1,l1}, u_{1,l2}, u_{1,l3}, \dots, u_{1,ll}, \dots, u_{1,S_11}, u_{1,S_12}, u_{1,S_13}, \dots, u_{1,S_1S_1}\}$, belongs to the paths $P_{1t_2}, P_{1t_3}, P_{1t_4}, \dots, P_{1t_l}, \dots, P_{1t_{S_1}}$ of G , where these sets of all paths are attached to the vertex v_1 of C_n .

Without loss of generality, consider another new set of paths $P_{2t_2}, P_{2t_3}, P_{2t_4}, \dots, P_{2t_l}, \dots, P_{2t_{S_2}}$ which are attached to the vertex v_2 of C_n for $n \geq 3$. Let the path P_{2t_2} be a path P_2 , with the vertex set $\{u_{2,21}, u_{2,22}\}$, where the vertex $u_{2,21} = v_2$ and P_{2t_3} be a path P_3 , which consist of the vertex set $\{u_{2,31}, u_{2,32}, u_{2,33}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{2,31} = v_2$. Let P_{2t_4} be a path P_4 with the vertex set $\{u_{2,41}, u_{2,42}, u_{2,43}, u_{2,44}\}$ where the vertex $u_{2,41} = v_2$. Without loss of generality consider any path P_{2t_l} where P_{2t_l} be a path P_l , $2 \leq l \leq S_2$, with the set of vertices $\{u_{2,l1}, u_{2,l2}, u_{2,l3}, \dots, u_{2,ll}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{2,l1} = v_2$. Finally consider any path $P_{2t_{S_2}}$, where the path $P_{2t_{S_2}}$ is a path P_{S_2} which consist of the vertex set $\{u_{2,S_21}, u_{2,S_22}, u_{2,S_23}, \dots, u_{2,S_2S_2}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{2,S_21} = v_2$. Thus the set of vertices $\{u_{2,21}, u_{2,22}, u_{2,31}, u_{2,32}, u_{2,33}, u_{2,41}, u_{2,42}, u_{2,43}, u_{2,44}, \dots, u_{2,l1}, u_{2,l2}, u_{2,l3}, \dots, u_{2,ll}, \dots, u_{2,S_21}, u_{2,S_22}, u_{2,S_23}, \dots, u_{2,S_2S_2}\}$ which belongs to the set of paths $\{P_{it_j}\}$, for $i = 2$, and $2 \leq j \leq S_2$.

In general, consider a new set of paths, $P_{it_2}, P_{it_3}, P_{it_4}, \dots, P_{it_l}, \dots, P_{it_{S_i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. These paths are attached to the vertex v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ of C_n for $n \geq 3$. Let P_{it_2} be a path P_2 , with the vertex set $\{u_{i,21}, u_{i,22}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{i,21} = v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The path P_{it_3} be a path P_3 which consist of the set of vertices $\{u_{i,31}, u_{i,32}, u_{i,33}\}$, where the vertex $u_{i,31} = v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let P_{it_4} be a path P_4 with the vertex set $\{u_{i,41}, u_{i,42}, u_{i,43}, u_{i,44}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{i,41} = v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Similarly consider any path P_{it_l} , where P_{it_l} is a path P_l , $2 \leq l \leq S_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ which consist of the set of vertices $\{u_{i,l1}, u_{i,l2}, u_{i,l3}, \dots, u_{i,ll}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{i,l1} = v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Finally consider any path $P_{it_{S_i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where the path $P_{it_{S_i}}$ is a path P_{S_i} which consist of the vertex set $\{u_{i,S_i1}, u_{i,S_i2}, u_{i,S_i3}, \dots, u_{i,S_iS_i}\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that the vertex $u_{i,S_i1} = v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the set of vertices $\{u_{i,21}, u_{i,22}, u_{i,31}, u_{i,32}, u_{i,33}, u_{i,41}, u_{i,42}, u_{i,43}, u_{i,44}, \dots, u_{i,l1}, u_{i,l2}, u_{i,l3}, \dots, u_{i,ll}, \dots, u_{i,S_i1}, u_{i,S_i2}, u_{i,S_i3}, \dots, u_{i,S_iS_i}\}$ belongs to the set of paths $P_{it_2}, P_{it_3}, P_{it_4}, \dots, P_{it_l}, \dots, P_{it_{S_i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proceeding similarly, consider a new set of paths in G . Let P_{nt_2} be a path P_2 , with the vertex set $\{u_{n,21}, u_{n,22}\}$, where the vertex $u_{n,21} = v_n$. The path P_{nt_3} be a path P_3 , which consist of the set of vertices $\{u_{n,31}, u_{n,32}, u_{n,33}\}$ such that the vertex $u_{n,31} = v_n$. Let P_{nt_4} be a path P_4 , with the vertex set $\{u_{n,41}, u_{n,42}, u_{n,43}, u_{n,44}\}$, where the vertex $u_{n,41} = v_n$. Proceeding similarly, consider the path P_{nt_l} , where P_{nt_l} , $2 \leq l \leq S_n$ is a path P_l which contains the set of vertices $\{u_{n,l1}, u_{n,l2}, u_{n,l3}, \dots, u_{n,ll}\}$, such that the vertex $u_{n,l1} = v_n$. Finally, consider the path $P_{nt_{S_n}}$, where the path $P_{nt_{S_n}}$ is a path P_{S_n} with the vertex set $\{u_{n,S_n1}, u_{n,S_n2}, u_{n,S_n3}, \dots, u_{n,S_nS_n}\}$, where the vertex $u_{n,S_n1} = v_n$. Then the set of vertices $\{u_{n,21}, u_{n,22}, u_{n,31}, u_{n,32}, u_{n,33}, u_{n,41}, u_{n,42}, u_{n,43}, u_{n,44}, \dots, u_{n,l1}, u_{n,l2}, u_{n,l3}, \dots, u_{n,ll}, \dots, u_{n,S_n1}, u_{n,S_n2}, u_{n,S_n3}, \dots, u_{n,S_nS_n}\}$, belongs to the set of paths $P_{nt_2}, P_{nt_3}, P_{nt_4}, \dots, P_{nt_l}, \dots, P_{nt_{S_n}}$, where these set of paths are attached to the vertex v_n of C_n . Thus the graph $G = C_n P_t$, for $n \geq 3$ and $t \geq 2$ is obtained.

Let D be the minimum restrained dominating set of G . The set of all paths $\{P_{it_j}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $2 \leq j \leq S_i$ will be of any one of the following types.

Case (i) Suppose if $P_{it_l} = P_{3m-1}$, for $m \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $l = 2, 5, 8, \dots$, then the path P_{it_l} be P_l , where the vertex set of P_l be $\{u_{i,l1}, u_{i,l2}, u_{i,l3}, \dots, u_{i,ll}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that the vertex $u_{i,l1} = v_i$. Without loss of generality, choose the set of vertices $u_{i,l2}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 2, 5, 8, \dots$ for the γ_r set D from the path of the type P_{3m-1} , for $m \geq 1$, from each u_i then the set of vertices $u_{i,l3}$, for $l \neq 2$ where $l = 5, 8, \dots$ are dominated. Similarly choose the set of vertices $u_{i,l5}$, for $l = 5, 8, \dots$ for the γ_r set D, then the set of vertices $u_{i,l4}$, $l = 5, 8, \dots$ and $u_{i,l6}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 8, \dots$ are dominated, such that the set of vertices $u_{i,l3}$ and $u_{i,l4}$ $l = 5, 8, \dots$ are adjacent in $V - D$, similarly choose the set of vertices $u_{i,l8}$ for $l \neq 2, 5$, where $l = 8, 11, 14, \dots$ then the set of vertices $u_{i,l7}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $l = 8, 11, 14, \dots$ are dominated. Hence the set of vertices $u_{i,l6}$, for $l = 5, 8, \dots$ and $u_{i,l7}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $l = 8, 11, 14, \dots$ are adjacent in $V - D$. Thus Proceeding similarly, choose the set of all vertices $\{u_{i,ll}\}$, $l = 2, 5, 8, \dots$ for the γ_r set D, from the path of the type $P_{it_\square} = P_{3m-1}$, for $m \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $C_1 = \{u_{i,\square 2}, u_{i,\square 5}, u_{i,\square 8}, \dots, u_{i,\square \square}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 2, 5, 8, \dots$ be the set of vertices chosen from paths of type P_{3m-1} , for $m \geq 1$ for the γ_r set D.

Case (ii) If $P_{it_\square} = P_{3m}$, for $m \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$ then the path $P_{it_\square}$ be $P_\square$, where the vertex set of $P_\square$ be $\{u_{i,\square 1}, u_{i,\square 2}, u_{i,\square 3}, \dots, u_{i,\square \square}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that the vertex $u_{i,\square 1} = v_i$. Without loss of generality, choose the set of vertices $u_{i,\square 3}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$ for the γ_r set D from the path of the type P_{3m} , for $m \geq 1$, from each u_i then the set of vertices $u_{i,\square 2}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$ and $u_{i,\square 4}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l \neq 3$, where $l = 6, 9, 12, \dots$ are dominated. Since $\{u_{i,\square 1}\} = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$ are already dominated by the set of vertices $\{u_{i,\square 2}\}$, for $l = 2$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ which is chosen for the γ_r set D from the path of the type P_{3m-1} , for $m \geq 1$. Thus the two set of vertices $\{u_{i,\square 1}\} = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$ and $\{u_{i,\square 2}\}$ for $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ are adjacent in $V - D$ of G. Similarly choose the set of vertices $\{u_{i,\square 6}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l \neq 3$, $l = 6, 9, 12, \dots$ for the γ_r set D, then the set $\{u_{i,\square 5}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l \neq 3$, $l = 6, 9, 12, \dots$ are dominated. Thus the sets $\{u_{i,\square 4}\}$ and $\{u_{i,\square 5}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l \neq 3$, $l = 6, 9, 12, \dots$ are adjacent in $V - D$. Proceeding similarly, choose the set of all vertices $\{u_{i,\square l}\}$, $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$, for the γ_r set D from the path of the type $P_{it_\square} = P_{3m}$, for $m \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$. Let $C_2 = \{u_{i,\square 3}, u_{i,\square 6}, u_{i,\square 9}, \dots, u_{i,\square \square}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 3, 6, 9, \dots$ be the set of all vertices chosen for the γ_r set D.

Case (iii) If $P_{it_l} = P_{3m+1}$, for $m \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 4, 7, 10, \dots$ then the path P_{it_l} be P_l . The vertex set of the path P_l be $\{u_{i,l1}, u_{i,l2}, u_{i,l3}, \dots, u_{i,ll}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that the vertex $u_{i,l1} = v_i$. Without loss of generality, choose the set of vertices as same as in the Case (ii). Thus choose the set of vertices $\{u_{i,l3}\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 4, 7, 10, \dots$ and $\{u_{i,l6}\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l \neq 4$, $l = 7, 10, 13, \dots$ for the γ_r set D and similarly choose as same as in the case(ii) where the set of all vertices $\{u_{i,ll-1}\}$ and $\{u_{i,ll}\}$, for $l = 4, 7, 10, \dots$, are considered for the γ_r set D. Let $C_3 = \{u_{i,l3}, u_{i,l6}, u_{i,l9}, \dots, u_{i,ll-1}, u_{i,ll}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l = 4, 7, 10, \dots$, be the set of all vertices chosen for the γ_r set D from the path of the type $P_{it_l} = P_{3m+1}$, for $m \geq 1$.

Thus the set $D = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ where the set $D = \{u_{i,l2}, u_{i,l5}, u_{i,l8}, u_{i,l3}, u_{i,l6}, \dots, u_{i,ll-1}, u_{i,ll}\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $l \geq 2$ forms the γ_r set D of the unicyclic graph G, with cardinality $k = \gamma_r$. Thus G is $k - \gamma_r$ enresdowed for any $k = \gamma_r$.

Consider any set D_1 of cardinality $k_1 = \gamma_r + 1$, then there exists the following cases

Case (iii)(a) Consider any set D_{11} of cardinality $k_{11} = \gamma_r + 1$, where the set $D_{11} = D \cup \{u_{i,lr}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $2 \leq l \leq S_i$, $r \geq 2$, then there exists the following subcases.

Subcase (iii)(a₁) Consider a set $D_{11,1} = D \cup \{u_{i,lr}\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $2 \leq l \leq S_i$, and $r = 2$. Then the set of vertices $\{u_{i,l2}\}$ is adjacent to the $\{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By considering any vertices $\{u_{i,l2}\}$ which belongs to the $V - D$ set, for obtaining the restrained dominating set, there exists no isolates in the set $V - D_{11,1}$. Thus the set $D_{11,1}$ forms the restrained dominating set of cardinality $k_{11,1} = \gamma_r + 1$, containing the minimum restrained dominating set D. Hence G is $k_{11,1} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Subcase (iii)(a₂) Consider a set $D_{11,2} = D \cup \{u_{i,lr}\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $2 \leq l \leq S_i$, and $r > 2$, then the set of vertices $\{u_{i,lr}\}$ is not adjacent with any of the vertex $\{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since the set of vertices $\{u_{i,lr}\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $2 \leq$

$l \leq S_i$, and $r > 2$, belongs to the path P_l , it is adjacent only to its adjacent vertices in P_l . Thus by considering this set of vertices $\{u_{i,l,r}\}$ there exists an set of isolated vertices in the set $V - D_{11,2}$ and the set $D_{11,2}$ is not a restrained dominating set of cardinality $k_{11,2} = \gamma_r + 1$. Thus G is not $k_{11,2} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Case (iii)(b) Consider any set $D_{12} = D \cup \{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, which is of cardinality $k_{12} = \gamma_r + 1$. By considering any vertex $\{v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ from the cycle $C_n, n \geq 3$, then the vertex $\{u_{i,l,r}\}$ for $l=3$ and $r=2, 1 \leq i \leq n$ form an isolate vertex in $V - D_{12}$. Therefore the set D_{12} is not a restrained dominating set of G . Hence G is not $k_{12} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed for any $k_{12} = \gamma_r + 1$. Thus G is $k_1 - \gamma_r$ enresdowed for any $k_1 = \gamma_r + 1$.

Consider any set D_2 of cardinality $k_2 = \gamma_r + 2$, then there exists the following cases.

Case (iii)(b₁) Consider any set $D_{21} = D \cup \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}\}, 1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n$, where the vertices v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} belong to the cycle $C_n, n \geq 3$. The cardinality of the set D_{21} is $k_{21} = \gamma_r + 2$. By considering any set of vertices v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} from the cycle C_n there exists an isolates in the set $V - D_{21}$. Hence the set D_{21} is not a restrained dominating set of G . Therefore G is not $k_{21} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Case (iii)(b₂) Consider the set $D_{22} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n, 2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}, r_1, r_2 \geq 2$. The set D_{22} is of cardinality $k_{22} = \gamma_r + 2$, then there exists the following subcases.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₁) Consider the set $D_{22,1} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, such that $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n, 2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}, r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $l_1 = l_2$. Let $k_{22,1} = \gamma_r + 2$ be the cardinality of the set $D_{22,1}$. Thus the vertices u_{i_1,l_1r_1} and u_{i_2,l_2r_2} belong to the same path, then there exists the following subcases.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₁₍₁₎) Consider the set $D_{22,11} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n, 2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}, r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $l_1 = l_2$. If the given two vertices u_{i_1,l_1r_1} and u_{i_2,l_2r_2} are adjacent in the same path. Then the set $V - D_{22,11}$ does not contain an isolate vertex. Thus the set $D_{22,11}$ forms an restrained dominating set containing the minimum restrained dominating set. Hence G is $k_{22,11} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed for any $k_{22,11} = \gamma_r + 2$.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₁₍₂₎) Consider the set $D_{22,12} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n, 2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}, r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $l_1 = l_2$. If the vertices $u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}$ are not adjacent in the same path, since these vertices belong to a path, a set of vertices adjacent to $u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}$ in the path forms a set of isolates. Thus the set of isolate vertices exists in the set $V - D_{22,12}$. Thus the set $D_{22,12}$ is not a restrained dominating set of cardinality $k_{22,12} = \gamma_r + 2$. Hence G is not $k_{22,12} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₂) Consider the set $D_{22,2} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, such that $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n, 2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}, r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $l_1 \neq l_2$, then the following subcases exists.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₂₍₁₎) Consider the set $D_{22,21} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n, 2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}, r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $i_1 = i_2$, then there exists the following subcases.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₂₍₁₁₎) Consider the set $D_{22,211} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n, 2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}, r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $i_1 = i_2$, such that the vertices u_{i_1,l_1r_1} and u_{i_2,l_2r_2} belong to the different paths and they are attached to the same $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ of $C_n, n \geq 3$, which result in non-existence of an isolate vertex in the set $V - D_{22,211}$. Hence the set $D_{22,211}$ forms a restrained dominating set containing the γ_r set D , with cardinality $k_{22,211} = \gamma_r + 2$. Therefore G is $k_{22,211} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₂₍₁₂₎) Consider the set $D_{22,212} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n, 2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}, r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $i_1 = i_2$, then the vertices $u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}$ which belong to different paths are not adjacent to any vertex $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ of $C_n, n \geq 3$, then there exists isolates in $V - D_{22,212}$. Thus the set $D_{22,212}$ is not a restrained dominating set of cardinality $k_{22,212} = \gamma_r + 2$. Therefore G is not $k_{22,212} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed for any cardinality $k_{22,212} = \gamma_r + 2$.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₂₍₂₎) Consider the set $D_{22,22} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n$, $2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}$, $r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $i_1 \neq i_2$. The vertices u_{i_1,l_1r_1} and u_{i_2,l_2r_2} belong to the different paths, where the paths are attached to the different v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₂₍₂₁₎) Consider the set $D_{22,221} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n$, $2 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S_{i_1}$, $r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $i_1 \neq i_2$, such that the vertices u_{i_1,l_1r_1} and u_{i_2,l_2r_2} are adjacent to the vertex v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then there exists no isolate in the set $V - D_{22,221}$. Hence the set $D_{22,221}$ forms a restrained dominating set containing the γ_r set D of G . The cardinality of the set $D_{22,221}$ be $k_{22,221} = \gamma_r + 2$. Hence G is $k_{22,221} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Subcase (iii)(b₂₂₍₂₂₎) Consider the set $D_{22,222} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}\}$, where the vertices $u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}$ which belong to different paths of G and are not adjacent to v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, then there exists isolated vertices in the set $V - D_{22,222}$. Thus the set $D_{22,222}$ is not a restrained dominating set of G with cardinality $k_{22,222} = \gamma_r + 2$. Hence G is not $k_{22,222} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Case (iv) Consider the set $D_{23} = D \cup \{v_i, u_{i,lr}\}$, $1 \leq i, i \leq n$, $2 \leq l \leq S_{i_1}$, $r > 2$ and $\{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be any vertex of the unicycle C_n , $n \geq 3$. By choosing any vertex from the cycle, the set of vertices adjacent to the vertex v_i form an isolated set of vertices, where the cardinality of the set D_{23} be $k_{23} = \gamma_r + 2$. Thus the set $V - D_{23}$ contains the isolated vertices and the set D_{23} is not a restrained dominating set. Thus G is not $k_{23} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed and G is $k_2 - \gamma_r$ enresdowed for any $k_2 = \gamma_r + 2$.

Case (v) Consider any set D_3 of cardinality $k_3 = \gamma_r + 3$, then the following subcases exists.

Case (v)(a) Consider the set D_{31} , where $D_{31} = D \cup \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}\}$, $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq n$. By choosing the vertices $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}$ from the unicycle C_n , $n \geq 3$ for the set D_{31} , the set $V - D_{31}$ contains a set of isolated vertices. Thus the set D_{31} is not a restrained dominating set of cardinality $k_{31} = \gamma_r + 3$. Hence G is not $k_{31} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Case (v)(b) Consider the set D_{32} , where the set $D_{32} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}, u_{i_3,l_3r_3}\}$, $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq n$, $2 \leq l_1, l_2, l_3 \leq S_{i_1}$, $r_1, r_2, r_3 \geq 1$ of cardinality $k_{32} = \gamma_r + 3$, then there exists the following subcases.

Subcase (v)(b₁) Consider the set $D_{32,1}$, where $D_{32,1} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}, u_{i_3,l_3r_3}\}$, $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq n$, $2 \leq l_1, l_2, l_3 \leq S_{i_1}$, $r_1, r_2, r_3 \geq 1$ and $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 \neq 1$. If these set of vertices $u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}$ and u_{i_3,l_3r_3} are adjacent either to the same vertex v_i or different v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, then there exists no isolates in the set $V - D_{32,1}$. Thus the set $D_{32,1}$ forms a restrained dominating set containing the minimum restrained dominating set of cardinality $k_{32,1} = \gamma_r + 3$. Hence G is $k_{32,1} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Subcase (v)(b₂) Consider the set $D_{32,2} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}, u_{i_3,l_3r_3}\}$, $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq n$, $2 \leq l_1, l_2, l_3 \leq S_{i_1}$, $r_1, r_2, r_3 > 1$, where the set of vertices are chosen from the same path of the type P_{3m-1} , for $m \geq 1$, where the paths P_{3m-1} are attached to same v_i or different v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ then there exists isolates in the set $V - D_{32,2}$. The set $D_{32,2}$ is not a restrained dominating set of cardinality $k_{32,2} = \gamma_r + 3$. Hence G is not $k_{32,2} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Case (vi) Consider the set D_{33} where $D_{33} = D \cup \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, u_{i,lr}\}$, $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i \leq n$, $2 \leq l \leq S_i$, $r > 1$. The cardinality of the set D_{33} be $k_{33} = \gamma_r + 3$. Since the vertices of the cycle are chosen, the set D_{33} is not a restrained dominating set. Hence G is not $k_{33} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Case (vii) Consider the set $D_{34} = D \cup \{u_{i_1,l_1r_1}, u_{i_2,l_2r_2}, v_i\}$, $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i \leq n$, $2 \leq l_1, l_2, \leq S_{i_1}$, $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$. Thus the existence of the vertex v_i of the cycle C_n , $n \geq 3$ in the set D_{34} results in the existence of isolates in the set $V - D_{34}$. Thus the set D_{34} is not a restrained dominating set of cardinality $k_{34} = \gamma_r + 3$. Hence G is not $k_{34} - \gamma_r$ enresdowed.

Proceeding similarly, consider any set D_4 of cardinalit $k_4 = n + \left| \bigcup_{2 \leq j \leq S_i} P_{it_j} - S_i \right| - 1$, then the set D_4 is not a restrained dominating set of G since there exists an isolate vertices in the set $V - D_4$. Hence G is not $k_4 - \gamma_r$ enresdowed. Without loss of generality, consider a set D_5 of cardinality k_5 , where the cardinality k_5 is the union of the cardinality of the set of all vertices of cycle C_n , $n \geq 3$ and the cardinality of the set of all vertices in each path

$\{P_{it_j}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $2 \leq j \leq S_i$, except the set of all vertices $\{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ of the cycle C_n . Therefore the cardinality k_5 is given by $n + \left| \bigcup_{2 \leq j \leq S_i}^n P_{it_j} - S_i \right|$. Hence G is $k - \gamma_r$ enresdowed for any k , where $\gamma_r \leq k \leq n + \left| \bigcup_{2 \leq j \leq S_i}^n P_{it_j} - S_i \right|$.

REFERENCES

1. Anders Sune Pedersen ,PrebenDahlVestergaard,"The number of independent sets in unicyclic graphs"Discrete Applied Mathematics,Volume 152, Issues 1–3, 1 November 2005, pp. 246-256.
2. JoannaRaczek." On Domination Multisubdivision Number OfUnicyclic Graphs",Opuscula Math. 38, no. 3 (2018), pp 409–425 <https://doi.org/10.7494/OpMath.2018.38.3.409>.
3. Omid G. R. On Integral Graphs with Few Cycles. Graphs Combin., 25 (2009), 841–849.
4. Rodrigo O. Braga, Virg'inia M. Rodrigues, VilmarTrevisan, Locating Eigenvalues Of Unicyclic Graphs, Appl. Anal.Discrete Math. 11 (2017), 273–298.
5. Sumathi.P, Esther Felicia.R, Enresdowed graphs II, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics , Volume 13, Number 1, 2017, pp 229 – 232 .
6. Teresa W.Haynes,Stephen .Hedetniemi,Peter J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs,Marcel Dekker,INC.New York,1998.
7. Zhibin Du,Spectral Properties Of A Class Of Unicyclic Graphs,Journal of Inequalities and Applications. 2017; 2017(1): 96.doi: 10.1186/s13660-017-1367-2.